

Final Meeting Minutes
Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) Meeting
Sunflower Army Ammunition Plant (SFAAP)
Wednesday, March 5, 2003

1. The thirtieth RAB meeting was held on Wednesday, March 5, 2003, in the Clearview City Ball Room.
2. Copies of the agenda and sign-in sheets are attached.
3. Mr. Tony Spaar, the Commander's Representative at SFAAP, called the meeting to order at approximately 6:00 pm. Introductions were made around the room.
4. Mr. Bill Maasen, the RAB Community Co-chair then asked for comments regarding the draft minutes from the January meeting. A motion was made and seconded to accept the minutes as written. The motion passed.
5. There was discussion regarding whether or not a quorum was present. It was determined that since there were 23 RAB members, 12 would represent a quorum. Since 12 members were present, a quorum was established.
6. Ms. Judith Meier then discussed the current status of ongoing IRP projects. Contract actions for SWMUs 11, 13, 27, 39, 41, 48, and 50 will begin in second quarter. The work for SWMU 22 will be moved to the third quarter since it is not fully funded in FY03. SWMU 21 work may overrun funds and require the use of the funds set aside for SWMU 22. Ms. Meier stated that there will be no fourth quarter awards. Mr. Spaar further explained that they usually don't fund fourth quarter because they want to spend all the money they already have because if they don't spend it, the money gets returned. This is how SFAAP can sometimes pick up some additional "prior year" funding. Additional funding has been requested on SFAAP's behalf, and SFAAP will be ready to accept this extra funding and start spending it on a project if it is received.
7. Discussion moved to the selection of a RAB member to attend the IAP Workshop. Mr. Spaar stated that the dates had changed for the IAP Workshop, which would now be held May 6-8 to allow for weekday travel for out-of-town attendees. Mr. Spaar stated that he had requested the location to be (in order of preference): 1) Lawrence, 2) Overland Park, or 3) Lenexa, but the location had not yet been selected. Ms. Lisa Larsen asked what the IAP Workshop consisted of. Mr. Maasen stated that they would be updating the IAP. Mr. Ken Herstowski stated that at the IAP Workshop, the group would try to figure out how to best spend SFAAP's money. Mr. Tom Price suggested that anyone who is interested should go and see everything that is involved. Mr. David Rhodes stated that he may volunteer, but may have a conflict. Ms. Larsen stated that she would volunteer to go also. Mr. Price and Mr. Gifford Knapp volunteered to serve as back-ups. It was decided that no vote was necessary to accept Mr. Rhodes and Ms. Larsen as volunteers to attend, with Mr. Price and Mr. Knapp serving backup roles. Mr. Spaar mentioned that since the IAP Workshop was during the same week as the RAB, the RAB would have to be rescheduled.

8. As a representative for Environmental Chemical Corporation, Ms. Michelle Beckman with Burns & McDonnell then provided members with a summary of the LTM actions (copy attached), which she then discussed.
9. Mr. Keith Walker with Shaw Environmental and Infrastructure provided a series of handouts regarding their work at SFAAP (copy attached), which he then discussed. During his discussions concerning SWMUs 45 and 47, he mentioned that some of the studies were temporarily placed on hold, pending the shut-off of a 30-inch water main that ran through the Nitroguanidine Area. This main leaks frequently and likely has a dramatic effect on the local groundwater. The City of DeSoto is considering shutting off the main due to the large repetitive water loss and significant cost of constantly repairing water main breaks. As a result, Shaw is placing their groundwater studies in that area on hold until the water table has reached static levels following the water main shut-off. It was noted that water to a wildlife facility would be shut-off as a result of the water main shut-off and that Mr. Leon Coker owns the subject building. Mr. Spaar discussed this with Mr. Coker after the RAB meeting, and it was determined that Mr. Coker was talking about another building, not the Wild Care building. Mr. Rhodes also expressed concerns that Clearview City residents would be affected. Mr. Spaar stated that Clearview City is served by a different water main. Mr. Rhodes informed everyone that he is trying to get a second (backup) water main for the Clearview City residents. During Mr. Walker's discussions regarding the stream study, Mr. Charles Benjamin asked if KDHE had any monitoring points. Dr. Randy Carlson stated that they had one on the north end of the plant on Kill Creek, but had none on Captain Creek. Dr. Carlson was then asked what they had found in the past. Dr. Carlson stated they had seen metals, pesticides, and herbicides. Mr. Spaar asked if this data was published. Dr. Carlson stated that it was in the STORET database which is available on-line. Mr. Benjamin then asked where the closest upstream location was. Dr. Carlson stated that he didn't know, but went on to say that as far as anything unusual showing up in the samples, Aldrin, a termite pesticide, had shown up in the samples and could be site-related. Mr. Knapp then asked what is done about the inside and underneath of the buildings when the area around the building is monitored. Mr. Spaar stated that the inside of structures is not ER,A-eligible. Mr. Knapp then asked if they assumed that whatever was detected around the buildings would also be detected under them. Mr. Spaar stated that was usually the case unless the buildings were very large. Mr. Price then asked how deep the footings for the buildings were. Mr. Spaar stated they were typically 18" to 2' and that below the footings was gravel.
10. A motion was made, seconded, and approved that the next meeting date be moved to May 14.
11. Mr. Spaar then announced that the preliminary FOSET had been published and sent to the RAB members for comment. All comments must be received by Mr. Spaar by March 17. Mr. Price asked, "If the property changed hands, what would happen to the RAB?" Mr. Maasen answered that it would be up to Kessinger-Hunter. Mr. Spaar stated that Kessinger-Hunter is still required by law to have community involvement. Mr. Spaar explained that the term "RAB" was an Army term and there were other ways to have community involvement. However, as long as there is an

ARMY IRP Program at SFAAP, there will be an ARMY RAB. Mr. Maasen stated that he didn't believe that Kessinger-Hunter had been asked this question, but they should be asked. Mr. Herstowski stated that all of the SFAAP reports would still be available in the library. More than likely, they would have public meetings, so the public would still be involved. Mr. Herstowski stated that whether or not a group like this would still exist, he didn't know. Mr. Spaar stated that the Cherokee Investment Group has accepted RABs at other installations, but he can't say for sure what they would choose to do at SFAAP. Mr. Spaar stated that general questions about the preliminary FOSET would be answered, but specific comments need to be sent in writing.

12. Next, Mr. Maasen opened the floor to all questions and answers and reminded everyone to update the roster that had been circulating during the meeting. Mr. Price announced that Jimmy Oyler had heart surgery, so that's why he wasn't present.

13. Agenda items for the next meeting include:

- Update of IRP Projects
- Report of IAP Workshop
- LTM Update
- Update of Shaw's Work

Mr. Maasen stated that he would like to see the Stream Study data. Ms. Meier mentioned that they are not allowed to interpret the data until a final report has been written, but the data can be presented in tabular format.

- Lake City Database Presentation

14. Mr. Benjamin asked Mr. Spaar if he will take the comments and revise the FOSET and reissue the revised document for comment. Mr. Spaar stated that he will prepare responses to the comments, attach them to the preliminary FOSET, and send it to the Governor for her signature. It was then asked if it would be ready by May. It was stated that it most likely wouldn't be. Mr. Benjamin asked if the Governor could just receive the FOSET and not respond. Mr. Spaar stated that she could and that he doesn't know what her feelings about the FOSET are. Mr. Spaar had stated that Governor Graves was online with the FOSET, but the current Governor could take her time while she decides. Mr. Price asked if the Governor signs it, will that keep it off the Superfund list. Mr. Herstowski stated that the time for identifying Superfund sites is almost up because Superfund is now funded by the general revenue budget, rather than by the chemical manufacturers. Mr. Benjamin asked what happens in regard to cleanup if the Governor doesn't sign it or if she chooses to do nothing. Mr. Spaar stated that cleanup never stops. It was then stated that if the Governor doesn't sign, the property won't be sold in its entirety for a long time. SFAAP would need clean closure prior to the property's sale. Furthermore, the FOSET only affects contaminated property. Clean property can be sold at any time.

14. The meeting was adjourned at approximately 7:15 pm.