

**Final Meeting Minutes
Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) Meeting
Sunflower Army Ammunition Plant
Wednesday, May 4, 2005**

1) Introductions.

The RAB meeting was held in the Clearview City Ball Room. Mr. Tony Spaar, Commander's Representative at Sunflower Army Ammunition Plant (SFAAP), called the meeting to order at approximately 6:00 P.M.

2) Review and Approve Minutes from March 9, 2005 meeting.

Minutes from the March 9, 2005 meeting were available for Board review. A motion was made and seconded to accept the minutes as written. The RAB voted to accept the minutes as written.

3) Installation Restoration Program (IRP) Project update.

A. *FY05 Obligation Plan.* A handout showing SFAAP's FY05 Obligation Plan was provided to the RAB members outlining activities through April. Actual dollar amounts put on contract or Corps S&A/S&R through April were shown. SAAP-010 RAC and SAAP-066 RI contract dollars are not shown because they were funded with FY03 (prior year) dollars. The FY05 funds for SAAP-010 RAC and SAAP-066 RI were applied to SAAP-067 RI which was added to SFAAP's FY05 Obligation Plan. The total for SFAAP's FY05 Obligation Plan remains the same.

B. *Corps of Engineers' Update.* Since the March 2005 RAB, the Army Corps of Engineers has been performing technical reviews of Shaw's Remedial Investigation Reports. This included nine Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs 1, 3, 38, 39, 44, 45, 47, 60, and 61), two Areas of Concern (AOCs 3 and 13), and two studies: the site-wide Disposal Optimization Treatability Study, (DOTS), and the site-wide Explosive Safety Assessment, (ESA). These are both draft and draft final reports. We have also been finishing up the CERCLA Five Year Review (where some contamination was left in place). This report is currently being reviewed by the Corps' internal technical review team.

C. *Shaw Update of Ongoing Work.* Currently working on two active sites: the Landfill area, SWMU 18/19, a pump test will be performed on a monitoring well to determine groundwater flow volume that will aid in design of the proposed groundwater diversion system. An abandoned burn and debris area, SWMU 53, will be sampled next week. Field work is scheduled to be complete by May 18th.

4) Sunflower Redevelopment, LLC. (SRL) Presentation

Mr. Mikkel Anderson, Chief Technical Officer for the International Risk Group (IRG) provided information regarding present status of the 'privatization' of Sunflower. Mr. Anderson stated that the process included formation of a Sunflower Redevelopment

Authority by Johnson County that will be tasked to do the land use planning. IRG has been discussing cleanup activities with the KDHE over the past year and essentially, plans are to have IRG ‘step into the Army’s shoes’. It was noted that the KDHE would be the primary regulator for environmental issues rather than the EPA because the land will be under authority of private rather than federal jurisdiction. In addition, all environmental issues will be under the direction of the KDHE and all explosive issues will be under the direction of the Army. IRG will begin work with explosive decontamination first. The present estimate of explosive contaminated areas is about 2,000 acres, and the cleanup of these areas is expected to take about 3 years. Environmental cleanup work will follow and is expected to take an additional 3 to 4 years.

Discussion about Public Benefit Transferees (PBTs) included Johnson County Parks, University of Kansas, Kansas State University, City of DeSoto (Water Plant), and the DeSoto School District.

Cleanup work will generally follow an ‘outside in’ progression, beginning at the northeast corner, working along the east perimeter then the west perimeter then working towards the interior of the plant. Cleanup efforts will be in concert with the land re-use plan approved by Johnson County Board of Commissioners.

Mr. Anderson asked if there were questions from RAB members or visitors in attendance:

- Are there any plans to put a north-south road through Sunflower?
 - o These issues will be in the Johnson County’s Reuse Plan for Sunflower, and will be decided by Johnson County Board of Commissioners in coordination with the Sunflower Redevelopment Authority.
- Will an outside environmental firm be doing the cleanup or will it be in-house (SRL)?
 - o An outside firm, Earth Tech, is currently in negotiations with SRL.
- Will the easements go with the plant?
 - o Yes, they will go to the new owner.
- Since the KDHE is taking lead, will they (KDHE) be staffing up?
 - o Yes.
- Who will be paying for the additional KDHE staff?
 - o SRL.
- Will the cleanup be under Superfund?
 - o The cleanup will be under a KDHE Consent Order. A RCRA Permit Modification is currently in the public comment period by EPA Region VII, and will underlie the KDHE Consent Order.
- What input from IRG will there be if there are incompatibilities with land use plan?
 - o IRG will be meeting regularly with the Sunflower Redevelopment Authority to provide input to future use of the former SFAAP.
- Will Johnson County’s Reuse Plan for SFAAP, as it is now, be changed?
 - o Not substantially, but there are expected to be some changes.
- Will the RAB stay as-is?
 - o Yes.

- The Public Benefit Transferees, how much land will they get?
 - o There have been no contracts signed yet, IRG is negotiating with the transferees and the timing of the land transfers will vary.
- Has the Government signed off on the transfer plan?
 - o Not yet, that will follow signing of final FOSET
- What is the anticipated closing date?
 - o IRG is shooting for May 30th, but more likely will happen between then and June 30th.

5) New Business.

None.

6) IRP Questions or Comments from Public.

- When transfer is made, will there be an Installation Action Plan update (annual)? Mr. Spaar responded that it would provide no real value to the developers however; the Army will most likely find use for the document in updating/tracking site description progress. The funding will not change as the costs are no longer estimates, they are actual cost to the Army due to the Firm Fixed Price Contract with SRL (not yet final or signed as of this date).
- What are the explosive areas? Mr. Spaar said there are 140 structures to undergo Thermal Decomposition; 1,500 foundations; and about 41 miles of sewer pipe throughout the propellant manufacturing areas.

7) Next Meeting Date & Proposed Agenda Items

Next meeting: *July 6, 2005*

Proposed agenda will be the same except for item 4. Sunflower Redevelopment presentation.

8) Adjourn RAB